Senemal 28 Nov 17

DRAFT

SUBJECT: Presentation to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on the DDO Cuts

- I. Why were the cuts necessary?
 - A. Quickly after my arrival in the Agency last March
 I began to hear from many sources that the Directorate
 of Operations was over staffed. I soon found that it
 was almost a universal perception within the Agency
 that the DDO had excessive numbers of people which
 were resulting in over management and under utilization
 of its talent. This, I believe, would be tolerable
 in some organizations. It is not in an organization
 of the high-quality, dedicated and ambitious people we
 are fortunate to have in the CIA. Nor is it a tolerable
 situation to have large numbers of unnecessary people
 on the taxpayers' payroll.
 - 1. I discovered that the Directorate of Operations was already engaged in a three-phase program to restructure this organization and to slim its strength. I encouraged a report on that program and received it in mid-July.

II. Determination of the size of the reduction.

25X1

CHART

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

A. The report I received had a spread of opinion as to the size of the cut that was necessary. elected to be conservative and took the smallest of the numbers suggested-What this means is positions we must lose that in order to reduce additional not only people but approximately people each year in order to provide for the hiring of new blood to come in at the bottom, and by the same token to provide for a reasonable promotion opportunity throughout the system. For reasons I'll mention in a moment, we plan to phase the cut over two fiscal years. This in effect positions while also means we must eliminate new people for a total reduction of taking in individuals. I feel very strongly that, despite the additional pain it causes us, we cannot afford to neglect either the promotion opportunity for those already in the organization or the necessity of bringing in people in approximately equal annual increments at the bottom. Parenthetically, I might say that "at the bottom" is intended to mean just that for I see little prospects for bringing more than a handful of specialists into this very special organization at the medium or upper levels.

SECRET

- III. Timing of the reduction.
 - A. Of the alternatives presented to me for phasing the reduction, I opted for the quickest or two years. In the first place, I felt this would be far better for morale. Had we done it over about a six-year period it might have been possible to achieve the reduction through attrition only. In the first place, that might not have brought about the reductions in the right places. In the second place, it would have 1 e f t an air of uncertainty hanging over the entire organization for that long period of time because if the goals were not met by attrition they would have to be met by forced reductions. In addition, I do not believe I could honestly face your Committee in its budgetary role and suggest that the Agency should retain considerable numbers of people in excess of its needs for half a dozen years.
 - B. On August ____ I announced this intended reduction-privately to the employees and publicly to the media.

 It was in turn well publicized both inside and outside
 the Agency. I further announced that we would notify
 those who were going to be asked to leave in Fiscal
 Year 1978 not later than the first of November 1977,
 and that none of those persons would be asked to leave

prior to the first of March 1978; that those being asked to leave in Fiscal Year 1979 would be notified by 1 June 1978 and not required to leave prior to 1978.

C. Incidentally, it is of interest that between the time I notified the employees in August that there would be a reduction, and the first announcement to individuals on the first of November as to who would be released, I received no complaints either as to how the question of the necessity for cuts or as to how it was going to be effected. Even after the announcement of the individuals, I still have not found anyone within the Agency who seriously believes that the reduction is not in order.

IV. Who is to be released?

A. In deciding how to allocate the reductions across grades and skills, my end objective has always been to maintain at least as much clandestine intelligence capability as we possess today. It is my view that we do not have a surplus of that capability to the needs of our country. Hence, there is to be no meaningful reduction in our overseas strength or activities. Hence, there is to be no reduction in the size of the officer operational corps which

25X1

- will remain at about officers. Thus, the cut will be taken in Headquarters officer personnel, in paraprofessional and clerical personnel.
- B. Beyond this, I directed that the cut be across the board but with a somewhat higher percentage in the three supergrades. I was anxious to avoid our becoming top-heavy, for clearly there is an inclination of organizations to contract disproportionately at the top. This could perpetuate our problem of over management even at reduced total strength. I also requested that the individuals selected for reduction in the first year come more from the senior grades than the junior on the thought that there were more of these persons who could retire and be subject to lesser hardship.
- V. Method of selecting the individuals.
 - A. For those below the supergrade level, the basic determinant of who was to leave was the individual's accumulated efficiency reports. The Agency has a procedure whereby periodic evaluation boards rank the members of different grade levels. These rankings and the gradings on the efficiency reports themselves were the bases for establishing a system of points. This system was explicitly published to all the personnel

in the Operations Directorate in early October. Individuals could virtually calculate their score and, hence, the probability of being one of those asked to leave. The process, however, was not entirely mechanical and mathematical. A panel reviewed the calculations and made exceptions where appropriate for the retention of unique skills or humanitarian circumstances. These were exceptions. however, and the rule of the numerical ranking was closely followed except for supergrades. We have an annual process by which a senior panel composed of officers at the Executive position level ranks the officers within each of the three super-The Director of Operations used these rankings as the basis for his recommendations to me. Again, there were exceptions to the ranking order, but they were exceptions.

- B. There are two additional points that I would like to make on the selection of these people to leave:
 - 1. As far as I can determine, there was no bias by type of service, area of service, agreement with current management, race or sex in the selection of these individuals. There were, for instance, only 17 women and 4 blacks and

25X1

- 3 Hispanics in the total of forced reductions in Fiscal 1978.
- 2. There is no question that we were forced to terminate some very capable people. The Directorate of Operations has been shrinking continually since our withdrawal from Vietnam. In my view we have previously eliminated the majority of the marginal performers. There is no way today to take a reduction without having to ask very competent people to depart. This is unfortunate, it is unpleasant, it is necessary!
 VI. Style of notification.
 - A. Unfortunately, there have been some complaints at the method in which notifications were issued to individuals. I am most sorry if any of our loyal staff have been offended, or if they have felt that their prior services were not fully appreciated..

 Such is not the case, for everyone of these individuals has sacrificed and endured probations and risks for his country. The last word on these notifications and expressions of appreciation is not in as yet, however. We are at the moment still circumscribed to some of the legal necessities in the handling of these cases. We are also still very much involved in determining whether any of these people can

25X1

SECRET

-8-

be relocated into other directorates within the Agency to fill existing vacancies. No one has yet in effect received a notification of termination while we are still exploring alternative possibilities. I anticipate that some 25% of these people will In addition, I am be offered alternative positions. personally approaching the chiefs of all the other intelligence services of our country and asking that they give special consideration to the residual of for whom there may not be openings within these the CIA. Finally, in addition, these notifications are not yet final because we are uncovering cases of hardship that could not have been anticipated. have found that a few notices went out to those who would be able to retire with a small amount of additional service and we have arranged that no one. will be forced to retire before the end of Fiscal Year 1979 when the program must be complete if he would qualify for retirement by that time.

VII.Is there a security risk?

25X1

25X1

A. It has been suggested that the departure of sizable numbers of people like this opens us to the security risk that they will be suborned by enemy intelligence agents. This thought never crossed my mind in making

SECHET

SECRET

-9-

the decision for this reduction. I simply have too much confidence in the loyalty and dedication of these people. There was no such experience, to the best of my knowledge, when former Director James Schlesinger asked for the termination of ______ people in 197_.

- Our unfortunate experiences with former employees violating their secrecy agreement have come entirely from individuals who have left the Agency on their own volition.
- VIII. Next phase of the reduction.
 - A. The Fiscal 1979 cut will require approximately the same number of reductions, perhaps more if attrition does not meet expectations. We intend to commence notification of individuals involved as early as possible. We will not wait until the first of June and then send out all of the notifications at once. With more time to prepare in this instance, we will progressively notify people but ensure that the small exceptions all are notified by the first of June with none being required to depart before the first of ______. Exceptions to this rule will be small but necessitated by adjustments that will have to be made during Fiscal Year 1979 to ensure that we end up at our final figure.

SEGNET

-10-

IX. Conclusions.

- A. There are those who are concerned because this reduction may have hurt the morale of the Directorate of Operations. There is no question that it has because it simply is not a desirable nor pleasant task to undertake. The long-term objective, however, has been quite the reverse; that is, to promote the morale by promoting efficiency and full utilization In my view what is really needed today of talent. for the morale in the Directorate of Operations is the expression of clear support for its activities such as has come from this Committee and which also must come from a broader range of citizens and in even more public ways. Somehow we must begin to lift that veil of constant suspicion which is hanging over the Central Intelligence Agency and our Intelligence Community in general and through which the magnificent performance of these agencies in the past is being obscured by the relatively few mistakes that occurred.
- B. I would not have encouraged and approved this sizable reduction had I not thought that it would in the long run strengthen the Directorate of Operations and the Central Intelligence Agency. We need a type of breakdown in this Directorate as vitally today as ever before. It is simply hogwash to state, as has the

SEGRET

-11-

media in some instances, that new technical forms of intelligence collection are superseding the clandestine collection capabilities of this Directorate. There is a uniqueness of quality and capability in clandestine intelligence collection which cannot ever be matched by inhuman technical systems. They will always be vital to out country's security.

C. It would have been much easier for me to have avoided this issue and attempted to go along over strength until you or the appropriations committees or the Office of Management and Budget uncovered these excesses and made the reductions in my behalf. Doing so, however, in my opinion would have been avoiding my duty and would have been putting short-term pleasure ahead of long-term necessities. We simply must build a foundation today for a Central Intelligence Agency that will be capable of continuing into the indefinite future the outstanding performance it has given our country in the past thirty years.